Communication from Public

Name:	Barbara
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 05:51 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please choose Alternative 1 (Reduced Project Alternative) for the zoo. The Reduced Project Alternative (Alternative 1) would substantially avoid developed [sic] within the existing undeveloped areas of the Zoo property where protected trees, native habitats, and other special status plant species are present. Alternative 1 would also generate a smaller increase in visitation, thereby reducing projected vehicle miles traveled and reducing the size of the parking structure or eliminating the need for it entirely. Alternative 1 would reduce impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, urban forestry, noise, and transportation when compared to the Project. ”

Communication from Public

Name:	Heidi S Buech
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 06:47 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	PLEASE SUPPORT ALTERNATIVE 1!!! I am reaching out to voice my opposition to the Zoo's expansion and asking you to support Alternative 1, as the other project alternatives destroy protected trees and other native plants that are critical habitat for native wildlife such as mountain lion P-22. Alternative 1 would also generate a smaller increase in visitation, thereby reducing projected vehicle miles traveled and reducing the size of the parking structure or eliminating the need for it entirely. Alternative 1 would reduce impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, urban forestry, noise, and transportation when compared to the Project. Thank you for your time and attention in reviewing this.

Communication from Public

Name:	Maria Gritsch
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 06:04 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please ADOPT ALTERNATIVE 1 as the environmentally superior alternative for the LA Zoo transformation. Please do NOT destroy the protected trees and native habitat in the existing undeveloped areas of the LA Zoo. “The Reduced Project Alternative (Alternative 1) would substantially avoid developed [sic] within the existing undeveloped areas of the Zoo property where protected trees, native habitats, and other special status plant species are present. Alternative 1 would also generate a smaller increase in visitation, thereby reducing projected vehicle miles traveled and reducing the size of the parking structure or eliminating the need for it entirely. Alternative 1 would reduce impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, urban forestry, noise, and transportation when compared to the Project. ”

Communication from Public

Name:	Cheryl Weinfeld
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 05:18 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please support Alternative 1 ? • Don’t destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented We love Griffith Park!! We LOVE the flora and fauna that lives in GP. It's our responsibility to ensure that it continues to be a gem for the City of Los Angeles. Let's continue to preserve GP for generations. We've already given up too much land for the dump.

Communication from Public

Name: Molina Erica
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 05:02 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: The plan to expand the LA Zoo into an amusement park attraction is very disappointing and completely backwards for the times. The pandemic exposed the need for public, natural space and the need for maintenance of already existing trails is more pertinent now than ever before. Other projects in the neighboring areas are also focused on conservation, such as the rehabilitation of the LA River and this is expansion is quite the opposite of that and tone deaf as the community is focusing on protective deer, coyote, bird fauna, and our local celebrity P-22 (our lone cougar). I support the LA Zoo to continue with conservation and education of wildlife but to destroy the surrounding Griffith area is the complete opposite of what Angelenos need and also destructive to the wildlife of Los Angeles itself.

Communication from Public

Name:	A Spencer
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 05:03 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I am very concerned about the LA Zoo's expansion program and the impact it will have on our wildlife and our citizens. Griffith Park is home to a number of species of trees snd plants that are already significantly compromised due to habitat destruction. I urge the city to select Alt 1 From the Zoo's EIR.

Communication from Public

Name:	Christine DiTillio
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 05:04 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	"The Reduced Project Alternative (Alternative 1) would substantially avoid developed [sic] within the existing undeveloped areas of the Zoo property where protected trees, native habitats, and other special status plant species are present. Alternative 1 would also generate a smaller increase in visitation, thereby reducing projected vehicle miles traveled and reducing the size of the parking structure or eliminating the need for it entirely. Alternative 1 would reduce impacts to aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, urban forestry, noise, and transportation when compared to the Project. " Please leave the existing areas alone!

Communication from Public

Name:	Michael Lyons
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 04:16 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Hello, Thank you all for your good work on behalf of our city. I'm writing in regards to the expansion plan for the Los Angeles Zoo. The Zoo is wonderful and I whole-heartedly support all efforts to give the animals proper space to be healthy. However I am dismayed at the prospect of losing 23 acres of the Griffith Park's natural habitat. The one thing we can never build more of is urban wilderness. The open space of the park is a lifeline to the mental and physical well-being of the city's inhabitants. Please don't diminish that. The Alternative 1 plan allows for many improvements to the zoo without taking irreplaceable land from Griffith Park and all the citizens who find beauty and solace in its open spaces. Thank you, Mike Lyons

Communication from Public

Name:
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 04:37 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: I applaud the effort to improve the LA zoo. Whenever I visit the zoo I think about how much BETTER the zoo could be. I never once thought the zoo needed to be BIGGER in order to be better. There is so much room for improvement of the existing facility that you should be embarrassed to ask Griffith park for more land when you haven't come close to optimizing the land you already have. This should be a land neutral effort. The only swap of land that is acceptable to me is returning the old zoo eyesore back to chaparral (not park) in exchange for zoo expansion. Short of that, work with what you have and leave Griffith Park alone please.

Communication from Public

Name:	William Carlson
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 04:45 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Protecting Griffith Park and focusing on conservation is the primary purpose of the zoo. The proposed plans should reflect this fact and they currently don't.

Communication from Public

Name:	Emily Barbour
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 11:07 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I strongly oppose the LA Zoo's proposal to destroy acres of native habitat in Griffith Park to make new attractions for zoo visitors. We need every old oak tree in the city to remain standing to fight climate change and preserve our local biodiversity — something one would think the LA Zoo would understand.

Communication from Public

Name:	Dan Peirson
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 08:25 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I would like to register my dismay at the plans to expand the LA Zoo and ask for the council to support Alternative 1 to the current proposal. A true environmental legacy for this city and message of conservation to future generations is to support the protection of natural habitat and native trees. The destruction of this in the name of conservation or “progress” is unconscionable. We are so lucky to live in a city with such incredible nature on our doorstep. Let’s do what we can to preserve what remains of it.

Communication from Public

Name:	Elena de la Cruz
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 08:36 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Dear Mayor and City Council members, Please leave Griffith Park untouched, please leave it as is for all human and non-humans that live in the City of LA to enjoy. Don't eliminate open spaces, important for residents and flora and fauna. Why is bigger always better? The only thing the Zoo should be expanding for is to give more space to its animals, and for that I would only support Alternative 1. Thanks, Elena de la Cruz

Communication from Public

Name: Sam Butler
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 08:38 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: I wish to express my full support for Alternative 1. This is the only option that will adequately address the conservation of native plants on zoo property. An issue of high importance for me is the preservation of existing native plants, which includes native woodland and a number of plants listed as Protected by the City of L.A. Given the on-going threats to our local environment and the challenges represented by climate change, it is imperative that we do all we can to preserve what is left of our native environment. The Project Objectives are all to be applauded, especially the focus on improving animal welfare and conservation. However, these cannot come at the expense of important native habitat. Please consider this a priority as part of your evaluation process.

Communication from Public

Name: Michael Young Shin
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 09:14 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to voice my support for Alternative 1 in LA Zoo's Vision Plan Project. We have already done TOO MUCH irreversible damage to nature, and to even consider destroying even more of the critically threatened biodiversity hotspot of Santa Monica Mountains is absurd, if not intentionally evil and spiteful. Nature and biodiversity are the rarest, most limited resources in the world, and it is either impossible or exorbitantly expensive to reverse the damage.

Communication from Public

Name: Marnie Gaede
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 09:25 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Zoo's expansion and ask them to support Alternative 1 as the other project alternatives destroy protected trees and other native plants that are critical habitat for native wildlife such as mountain lion P-22. To take out 23 acres of native habitat for wild species is a travesty in a city that should protect native open space. Once it is gone, it is gone. I know that Los Angeles is trying to work toward the 30/30 goal of protecting 30% by 2030, so why would there be approval to destroy this place? My father used to camp in Griffith Park with boy scouts in the 1920s and he had fond memories of the beautiful, wild places he discovered. I also find the rationale for creating a climbing wall that replicates a biologist experience saving endangered condors by digging down to bedrock a wild canyon. Why does the zoo need to create an "action" amusement park when the focus should be on the animals, conservation and education. There are good things that could be done to help make the LA Zoo a more natural, informative experience. Please support Alternative 1!

Communication from Public

Name:	Hynden Walch
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 03:00 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please support alternative 1. Do not destroy 23 acres of native habitat. Please. Once it's gone, it's gone. Griffith Park is glorious because of all its acres and acres of untouched land, plants, and wildlife. It is the jewel of Los Angeles. Please keep it as it is. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:	Mario Milch
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 03:10 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I strongly urge the Council to adopt Alternative #1 plan for the expansion of the Los Angeles Zoo. It will accomplish improvements beneficial for the animals in the Zoo but will not further impinge on the unimproved areas surrounding the present Zoo area.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 03:24 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: As a resident of the Hollywood Hills, I very strongly support the assessment of Friends of Griffith Park: Please support Alternative 1 • Don’t destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented

Communication from Public

Name: Mary Steele
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 02:49 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: I am in complete agreement with the Citizens for Los Angeles Wildlife (CLAW) in requesting Alternative 1 as the environmentally superior alternative for the LA Zoo transformation. The irony (not to mention stupidity) of destroying native habitat (cutting a ridgeline down 60 feet to bedrock) to create a California Species Zone plastered with human entertainment attractions is difficult to fathom. The existing native habitat should instead be intensively enhanced to provide a southwest flank and one large peninsula of functioning Mediterranean ecosystem within the Zoo itself. Let's impress the world during the Olympics by showcasing city's progressive stance in environmental protection as opposed to building another amusement park. The City Council should soundly reject all alternatives that remove more than two acres of native habitat from within the Zoo boundary or require more than an acre of permanent brush clearance on Recreation and Parks' property. As it stands, Alternative 1 is the only viable option that meets this criteria.

Communication from Public

Name: Laura Debole
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 02:51 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: Friends of Griffith Park (FoGP) is a non-profit chartered for advocacy for all of Griffith Park, including the Los Angeles Zoo. I joined as a runner who spends weekend after weekend enjoy the paths and trails the park offers for endless adventures and beautiful moments, in the quiet hours the nature is out for all to enjoy from a distance. I agree with their clearly stated opposition to the proposed Zoo Vision Plan (Project), founded on the Zoo's proposed destruction of many acres of native habitat, including woodlands containing 120 coast live oaks, 60 toyons, and 22 California black walnut trees, all of which are City of Los Angeles Protected Trees/shrubs. An alliance of tree species may also be considered within the rare category, as well as some flora and fauna species. For these and other substantiated reasons, Alternative 1 should be carefully considered and selected by this Council Committee. I am in wholehearted agreement with their position, that option number 1 is the only way to move forward and protect the native habitat, the trees, animals and trails that have grown so dear to me. • Don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented But, The park is for everyone, not just for people who can afford the price of a ticket for zoo admission. FoGP believes in the importance of keeping Griffith Park as natural as possible, because when that acre of land, those endangered trees or that open field is gone, it's gone forever. thanks you Laura Debole

Communication from Public

Name:	Dana Reinisch
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 02:52 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I live right next to Griffith Park in the Hollywood Los Feliz area. I am very concerned about the LA Zoo's expansion plans. I support the Zoo- it updating, expanding its facilities; however not at the cost of the few natural spaces/parks we have in the city of Los Angeles. Griffith Park needs to remain a park for all of LA's residents - as natural as possible, because when that land, those endangered trees or a field is gone, it's gone forever. PLEASE SUPPORT ALTERNATIVE #1 ?• Do NOT destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented Thanks! Dana

Communication from Public

Name:	Dana Reinisch
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 02:53 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I live right next to Griffith Park in the Hollywood Los Feliz area. I am very concerned about the LA Zoo's expansion plans. I support the Zoo- it updating, expanding its facilities; however not at the cost of the few natural spaces/parks we have in the city of Los Angeles. Griffith Park needs to remain a park for all of LA's residents - as natural as possible, because when that land, those endangered trees or a field is gone, it's gone forever. PLEASE SUPPORT ALTERNATIVE #1 ?• Do NOT destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented Thanks! Dana

Communication from Public

Name:	Elizabeth Ann Corbett
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 04:22 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Hello Honorable John Lee, I write to you in regards to the expansion at the LA Zoon. I am sadden that there is even a discussion about turning the LA Zoo into an amusement park. As I have been volunteer at the zoo and have spent much time there as a parent. I do not need to go through all that you already are aware of but please reconsider the impact on the park & the surrounding area's. The overall disruption the would ensue is to much to imagine. I don't understand why no creative ideas are being considered with regard to the property where the zoo currently sits today. I understand the revenue that this potential expansion will bring but the mighty dollar needs to step aside. Please consider poor old lonely P-22 & how this and his fellow park residents will be impacted. Particulary P-22 since our beloved cougar has very limited space as it is! Please consider this move serioulsy & get those involved to rethink the layout & use what is there now. Thank you, Elizabeth Corbett 811 N. Beachwood Dr. Burbank CA 91506 818-669-0675

Communication from Public

Name:	Natalie Hall
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 03:40 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please support Alternative 1 ? • Don’t destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented FoGP believes in the importance of keeping Griffith Park as natural as possible, because when that acre of land, those endangered trees or that open field is gone, it’s gone forever.

Communication from Public

Name:	Sara Meeter
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 03:21 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I support Alternative 1 to avoid development of the LA Zoo and protect the resources/wildlife we have left.

Communication from Public

Name:	Elizabeth Quinlan
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 02:13 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please stop the destruction of Griffith Park. We do not need to increase attendance to 3 million visitors per year and to create a multi level parking structure to aid in that. As well the removal of 23 acres of natural habitat including 227 city protected trees such as native oaks, black walnuts and Toyan is irresponsible. Not only that extending the Zoo's border which means that brush clearance will extend into about 6 acres of Parkland makes no sense to the environmentally minded. This city park is unique across this country why do we want to devastate it? Please vote no on the original proposal. The Alternative I plan seems more viable solution. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: M Kay
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 01:37 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: Please do not support destruction of native habitat to showcase a native habitat attraction. I'm sure there are less damaging ways to create appropriate educational and financial opportunities. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: G Dee
Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 01:46 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: I cannot conceive of the idiocy of this plan and the natural habitat it will destroy. I would never visit anywhere that resembled a theme park in any way as I think they are moronic and horrifying. I go to see the animals and not to ride stupid machines or see bored tourists. If you go ahead with this plan not only will I never visit again, my family will never renew their memberships or donate. Get a clue and educate yourselves about conservation or replace yourselves with people who care.

Communication from Public

Name:	Tiffany Birch
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 01:00 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Support Alternative 1 and not destroy any native habitat in Griffith Park

Communication from Public

Name:	Lee CHEMEL
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 01:01 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I am very concerned about the Zoo upgrade and support only EIS alternative #1 Thank you

Communication from Public

Name:	John Callas
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 01:02 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I request the City pursue Alternative 1 as the environmentally superior alternative for the LA Zoo transformation and thereby minimize the loss of natural California habitat around the zoo. Sincerely, John Callas

Communication from Public

Name:	Lee Chemel
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 01:05 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please support Alternative 1 ? • Don’t destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented

Communication from Public

Name:	John Paladin
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 01:15 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Don’t destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees. The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan. The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented. It is important to keep Griffith Park as natural as possible, because when that acre of land, those endangered trees or that open field is gone, it’s gone forever.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/01/2021 01:21 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: The proposed Zoo Vision Plan is profoundly inconsistent with City environmental priorities. It turns its back on Los Angeles's Sustainable City Plan, and the 30 x 30 Initiative, along with the Biodiversity work by the Department of Sanitation and Environment. Please select Alternative 1 to avoid developing approximately 23 acres of native wildlands while allowing the zoo to reach most of its stated goals of the Plan.

Communication from Public

Name:	Mary Brooks
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 01:28 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please support Alternative 1 for the Los Angeles Zoo development plan. It is better for our community, wildlife and habitat to leave as much of Griffith Park undeveloped as possible. I am strongly opposed to building rides and attractions at the zoo. The zoo should be run to benefit animals, their conservation and research and to educate its patrons.

Communication from Public

Name:	Andy Birch
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 12:08 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I support Alternative 1 ? • Don’t destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented

Communication from Public

Name:	Sara
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 12:08 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Destroying natural habitat at the park to compete with amusement parks is a terrible idea. I support Alternative 1.

Communication from Public

Name:	Patricia C LoVerme
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 12:08 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please reject the Zoo's plan to become an amusement park. Please protect the native enviornment and spend the money on animals, not fun projects for people.

Communication from Public

Name:	Jon
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 12:19 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I have been hiking Griffith Park for decades. Please, seriously, solely and fully support Alternative 1 (if any of the alternatives truly must proceed at all). ? • Don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees. • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan. • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented.

Communication from Public

Name:	Charlotte Innes
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 12:19 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I am appalled by plans to destroy parts of Griffith Park's natural landscape to build tourist attractions at the Los Angeles zoo. In the face of climate change and the loss of species, we need our trees and wildlife much more desperately. A lot is at stake here. Please do NOT allow these plans to go through! Thank you. See LA Times story here: https://www.latimes.com/environment/story/2021-10-20/how-la-zoo-plans-may-impact-california-wildlife-plants

Communication from Public

Name:	K. Boyarsky
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 12:25 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please support Alternative 1 ? • Don’t destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 LA City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented We don't have much wilderness left! Please secure and retain what is there. Thank you, K. Boyarsky

Communication from Public

Name:	Susan Kay MD
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 12:39 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Please oppose the wonderful LA Zoo's 22-acre expansion plan and please support Alternative 1 instead. Sincerely, Susan B Kay MD

Communication from Public

Name:	Gretchen Gesell
Date Submitted:	11/01/2021 12:41 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I oppose the expansion of LA Zoo. We need to protect our native trees and habitat for wild animals. We keep whittling it down our wild spaces-where is our wildlife supposed to go? This expansion is unnecessary and puts money ahead of protecting our native plants and wildlife. We need habitat more than entertainment and the pollution that goes hand in hand. People are fed up with hearing heartbreaking stories about the harm and death happening to our wildlife and ecosystems here in Los Angeles. Let's do something different and choose wisely to protect this space. Please do not allow this space to get developed.